

Item No.	Application No. and Parish	Statutory Target Date	Proposal, Location, Applicant
(1)	23/01552/REG3 Stratfield Mortimer	10 th October 2023	<p>It is proposed to replace the existing permanent 18 pitch Gypsy caravan site with a new 17 permanent pitch Gypsy caravan site. Each pitch is to consist of a hard standing area large enough for two twin axle caravans, car parking for two vehicles and a 30 sq m amenity building consisting of a kitchen/dayroom, bathroom, separate WC and utility area. In addition to the amenity buildings a recycle storage facility, children play area and sewerage treatment plant are to be located within the site.</p> <p>Four Houses Corner Caravan Site, Reading Road, Ufton Nervet, Reading</p> <p>West Berkshire Council</p>

The application can be viewed on the Council's website at the following link:
<http://planning.westberks.gov.uk/rpp/index.asp?caseref=23/01552/REG3>

Recommendation Summary: Grant planning permission

Ward Member(s): Councillors Nick Carter, Geoffrey Mayes and Vicky Poole

Reason for Committee Determination: The Council is the applicant and over 5 letters of objection have been received.

Committee Site Visit: 27th September 2023

Contact Officer Details	
Name:	Michael Butler
Job Title:	Principal Planning Officer
Tel No:	01635 519111
Email:	Michael.Butler@westberks.gov.uk

1. Introduction

- 1.1 The purpose of this report is for the Committee to consider the proposed development against the policies of the development plan and the relevant material considerations, and to make a decision as to whether to approve or refuse the application.
- 1.2 This application seeks planning permission for the creation of 17 permanent gypsy and traveller pitches with associated hardstanding, car parking spaces, day rooms, along with a sewerage treatment package plant, and an improved vehicular site access onto the Reading Road. There will also be a small children's play area. The site is presently vacant, but a number of years ago was in use. Whilst the historical permissions allowed for a greater number of pitches, at differing times the site has been occupied by 18 pitches and then 16 pitches, the latter of which is accounted for in the most recent gypsy and traveller need/supply assessments.
- 1.3 There will be 38 parking spaces in total as well, plus a small recycling/bin store area. The total internal floor area proposed is 510m² including the day rooms. The site area is 0.919ha so the application is not classified as a 'major'.
- 1.4 The application site lies just to the south of the Reading Road within the heathland common areas around Burghfield Common and Stratfield Mortimer. It is surrounded by woodland and lies in the open countryside in policy terms. A defined footpath runs to the east of the application site and the whole area lies in a biodiversity opportunity area. In addition, two local wildlife sites of Cowpond and Gibbet pieces lie to the north, whilst the local landscape character area is annotated as WH5 in the 2019 Landscape Character Analysis which is woodland and heathland mosaic.

Caravans legislation

- 1.5 Section 29(1) of the Caravan Sites and Control of Development Act 1960 (as amended) sets out that a caravan means any structure designed or adapted for human habitation which is capable of being moved from one place to another (whether by being towed, or by being transported on a motor vehicle or trailer) and any motor vehicle so designed or adapted. Section 13(1) of the Caravan Sites Act 1968 (as amended) defines a twin-unit caravan as a structure designed or adapted for human habitation which is, (a) composed of not more than two sections separately constructed and designed to be assembled on a site by means of bolts, clamps or other devices; and (b) when assembled it is physically capable of being moved by road from one place to another. Touring caravans, static caravan and various mobile homes constitute 'caravans' under the above legislation.
- 1.6 Caravans are not normally regarded as buildings or operational development under the Planning Acts. The application proposes the redevelopment of the site comprising a use which would enable the siting of caravans on plots. For this reason, it is not necessary to provide plans of individual caravans, and in assessing this application it must be recognised that different caravans may come and go over time.

2. Planning History

- 2.1 The table below outlines the relevant planning history of the application site.

Application	Proposal	Decision / Date
81/14988/ADD	Create 21 pitches at 4 Houses Corner	Approved 1981

80/12338/ADD	Create 20 pitches at 4 Houses Corner	Approved 1980
--------------	--------------------------------------	---------------

3. Legal and Procedural Matters

- 3.1 **Environmental Impact Assessments (EIA):** Consideration has been given to the Town and Country Planning (Environmental Impact Assessment) Regulations 2017. The proposed development does not fall within any of the categories of development listed in Schedule 1, and is therefore not automatically EIA development in this respect. Development listed in Schedule 2 of the Regulations only requires screening if it meets/exceeds the relevant threshold or is located within a 'sensitive area' as defined by the Regulations. Paragraph 10(b) relates to 'urban development projects', and Paragraph 12(e) relates to "permanent camp sites and caravan sites". Under either paragraph, the relevant threshold for EIA screening is 1 hectare. The application site measures less than 1 hectare, and it is not located within a 'sensitive area'. As such, EIA screening is not required.
- 3.2 **Publicity:** Publicity has been undertaken in accordance with Article 15 of the Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) (England) Order 2015, and the Council's Statement of Community Involvement. A site notice was placed on the application site on 12th July 2023, with a deadline for representations of 2nd August 2023. A public notice was displayed in the Reading Chronicle on 20th July 2023.
- 3.3 **Local Financial Considerations:** Section 70(2) of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended) provides that a local planning authority must have regard to a local finance consideration as far as it is material. Whether or not a 'local finance consideration' is material to a particular decision will depend on whether it could help to make the development acceptable in planning terms. It would not be appropriate to make a decision based on the potential for the development to raise money for a local authority or other government body. The table below identified the relevant local financial considerations for this proposal.

Consideration	Applicable to proposal	Material to decision	Refer to paragraph(s)
Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL)	Yes	No	3.4
New Homes Bonus	No	No	
Affordable Housing	No	No	
Public Open Space or Play Areas (in terms of S106)	No	No	
Developer Contributions (S106)	No	No	
Job Creation	No	No	
Local Authority Project	Yes	No	3.5

- 3.4 **Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL):** CIL is a levy charged on most new development within an authority area. The money is used to pay for new infrastructure supporting the development of an area by funding the provision, replacement,

operation or maintenance of infrastructure. This can include roads and transport facilities, schools and education facilities, flood defences, medical facilities, open spaces, and sports and recreational areas. CIL will be charged on residential (C3 and C4) and retail (A1 - A5) development at a rate per square metre (based on Gross Internal Area) on new development of more than 100 square metres of net floorspace (including extensions) or when a new dwelling is created (even if it is less than 100 square metres). The CIL rooms are CIL chargeable but not the caravans.

- 3.5 **Local Authority Project:** It should be noted that the proposal is for a Local Authority project and consequently there are local financial considerations in this respect. However, such considerations are not material in this instance as they do not have any bearing on the acceptability of the proposed development in planning terms. It is recognised that such financial considerations will be relevant to other functions of the Council, but as Local Planning Authority the Planning Committee should not take these matters into account.
- 3.6 **Public Sector Equality Duty (PSED):** In determining this application the Council is required to have due regard to its obligations under the Equality Act 2010. The Council must have due regard to the need to achieve the following objectives:
- (a) eliminate discrimination, harassment, victimisation and any other conduct that is prohibited by or under the Equality Act 2010;
 - (b) advance equality of opportunity between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and persons who do not share it;
 - (c) foster good relations between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and persons who do not share it.
- 3.7 Having due regard to the need to advance equality of opportunity between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and persons who do not share it involves having due regard, in particular, to the need to—
- (a) remove or minimise disadvantages suffered by persons who share a relevant protected characteristic that are connected to that characteristic;
 - (b) take steps to meet the needs of persons who share a relevant protected characteristic that are different from the needs of persons who do not share it;
 - (c) encourage persons who share a relevant protected characteristic to participate in public life or in any other activity in which participation by such persons is disproportionately low.
- 3.8 The key equalities protected characteristics include age, disability, gender, gender reassignment, marriage and civil partnership, pregnancy and maternity, race, religion or belief. Whilst there is no absolute requirement to fully remove any disadvantage, the duty is to have regard to and remove or minimise disadvantage. In considering the merits of this planning application, due regard has been given to these objectives.
- 3.9 As the proposal directly affects gypsy and travellers, particular regard is required to these objectives. The proposals will result in improved and increased accommodation on the site, and as such it is considered that the proposal has a positive effect under the Equalities Act and is consistent with the aforementioned objectives.
- 3.10 **Human Rights Act:** The development has been assessed against the provisions of the Human Rights Act, including Article 1 of the First Protocol (Protection of property), Article 6 (Right to a fair trial) and Article 8 (Right to respect for private and family life and home) of the Act itself. The consideration of the application in accordance with

the Council procedures will ensure that views of all those interested are taken into account. All comments from interested parties have been considered and reported in summary in this report, with full text available via the Council's website.

- 3.11 The proposals will result in improved and increased accommodation on the site, and as such it is considered that the proposed development would not prejudice the Human Rights of the applicant or any third party. The closest third party to the site will be a house some 120m distant from the application site.
- 3.12 **Listed building setting:** Section 66(1) of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990 requires that special regard must be had to the desirability of preserving a listed building or its setting or any features of special architectural or historic interest which it possesses. Section 16(2) has the same requirement for proposals for listed building consent. No listed buildings are considered to be materially affected by the proposals.
- 3.13 **Conservation areas:** Section 72 of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990 requires special attention to be paid to the desirability of preserving or enhancing the character or appearance of a conservation area. No conservation areas are considered to be materially affected by the proposals.

4. Consultation

Statutory and non-statutory consultation

- 4.1 The table below summarises the consultation responses received during the consideration of the application. The full responses may be viewed with the application documents on the Council's website, using the link at the start of this report.

Stratfield Mortimer Parish Council:	A whole range of views summarised as follows. Site in an isolated location. Some discrepancies in the design and access statement and the application form. How will the site not become de-contaminated? No objection per se from the Council.
Sulhamstead Parish Council (adjacent):	Nothing received at the time of writing this report.
Burghfield Parish Council (adjacent):	The site is not allocated in the HAS DPD and it lies in an isolated location. Does not meet the criteria for exceptions in the countryside for new homes in the HAS DPD so should be rejected. Lies in the DEPZ for AWE Burghfield so no new residents should be encouraged in this area.
Highway Authority:	Initially concerned that the visibility splays at the improved vehicle access were not adequate but revised plans have been submitted which note that they are acceptable. In addition the car parking provision on site is accepted. The internal access road does not require to be made up to adoptable standards. Conditional permission is now recommended.
Archaeology:	The ground has been considerably worked in the past so it is unlikely to be of any potential. No objections raised.

Environment Delivery:	Normally the Council would be looking to achieve BREEAM excellent under the remit of policy CS15 in the WBCS. However, the development is for caravans which are very difficult to measure against such energy standards. Accordingly no condition is recommended to apply this BREEAM level.
Basingstoke and Deane Borough Council (adjacent):	No objections raised.
Emergency Planning:	Whilst the site lies in the inner DEPZ for AWE Burghfield, the pitches have already been allowed for in the Council emergency evacuation plan. Accordingly no objections are raised to the proposal.
Office of Nuclear Regulation:	ONR have consulted with the WBDC emergency planners and have confirmed they have no objections with the condition as proposed by EP .
Lead Local Flood Authority:	Objection . Will not permit surface water drain off from the site onto the highway adjacent. This should be revised . Amended plans submitted to show a new drainage run to the south east of the site. Suds consulted, views awaited .

Public representations

- 4.2 Representations have been received from 13 contributors, none of which support the application, one of which is neutral, and 12 of which object to the proposal.
- 4.3 The full responses may be viewed with the application documents on the Council's website, using the link at the start of this report. In summary, the following issues/points have been raised:
- The site lies in the inner DEPZ for AWE Burghfield so the Council should not be allowing more residents in this area designation.
 - The associations of the site with the death of PC Harper
 - The site is not in a sustainable location.
 - Suggestion that the application description should make reference to 34 pitches, as they are double pitches.
 - Drainage concerns.

5. Planning Policy

- 5.1 Planning law requires that applications for planning permission be determined in accordance with the development plan, unless material considerations indicate otherwise. The following policies of the statutory development plan are relevant to the consideration of this application.
- Policies ADPP1, ADPP6, CS7, CS8, CS13, CS14, CS15, CS16, CS17, CS18 and CS19 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy 2006-2026 (WBCS).
 - Policy TS3 of the Housing Site Allocations Development Plan Document 2006-2026 (HSA DPD).
 - Policies OVS.5, OVS.6 and TRANS.1 of the West Berkshire District Local Plan 1991-2006 (Saved Policies 2007).

- The Stratfield Mortimer Neighbourhood Development Plan (2017).

5.2 The following material considerations are relevant to the consideration of this application:

- National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF)
- Planning Practice Guidance (PPG)
- Planning Policy for Traveler Sites (PPTS)
- WBC Quality Design SPD (2006)
- Planning Obligations SPD (2015)
- Sustainable Drainage SPD (2018)

6. Appraisal

6.1 The main issues for consideration in this application are:

- The principle of development, taking into account:
 - The West Berkshire Development Plan
 - Emerging Development Plan Policies
 - National Policies
 - Need and Supply
- Highway matters
- Landscape/visual impact
- Emergency Planning and AWE
- Sustainable construction
- Drainage
- Representations

West Berkshire Development Plan

6.2 Planning law requires that applications for planning permission be determined in accordance with the development plan, unless material considerations indicate otherwise. The NPPF provides a presumption in favour of sustainable development (paragraph 11), which for decision taking this means approving development proposals that accord with an up-to-date development plan without delay. Conversely, paragraph 12 states that where a planning application conflicts with an up-to-date development plan, permission should not usually be granted.

6.3 The most important policies for determining whether the principle of development is acceptable are Policies ADPP1, ADPP6 and CS7 of the Core Strategy, and Policy TS3 of the Housing Site Allocations DPD. The Core Strategy includes a Spatial Strategy (ADPP1 and ADPP6) that provides a broad indication of the overall scale of development in the district, applying the principles of sustainable development, and based on defined spatial areas and a settlement hierarchy. Policies CS7 and TS3 relate to gypsy and traveller sites.

6.4 According to **Policy ADPP1**, most development will be within or adjacent to the settlements in the hierarchy, and related to their transport accessibility and level of services. The urban areas will be the focused for most development. The scale and density of development will be related to the site's accessibility, character and surroundings. Only appropriate limited development in the countryside (outside of the defined settlement boundaries) will be allowed, focused on addressing identified needs and maintaining a strong rural economy.

- 6.5 **Policy ADPP6** is the spatial strategy for the East Kennet Valley. It states that development in the open countryside will be strictly controlled, however, it is not considered to include any points which are directly relevant to this proposed development.
- 6.6 **Policy CS7** (Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople) is the principal development management policy for this proposed development. It reads as follows (bullet points have been replaced by letters for ease of reference) with an assessment included under each criteria:

'To meet the identified need for Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople pitches within the District, the Council will make appropriate provision through the identification of sites within the Site Allocations and Delivery DPD. The requirement for transit sites will be addressed through the same DPD.'

In allocating sites, and for the purpose of considering planning applications relating to sites not identified in the relevant DPD, the following criteria will need to be satisfied for sites outside settlement boundaries:

(a) Safe and easy access to major roads and public transport services;

- 6.7 The Reading Road is a local rural distributor road of good quality and capacity.

(b) Easy access to local services including a bus route, shops, schools and health services;

- 6.8 Whilst the application location is some distance from Burghfield Common, the nearby settlement does have a very good range of facilities including shops, schools, churches.

(c) Located outside areas of high flooding risk;

- 6.9 The application site is located in Flood Zone 1.

(d) Provision for adequate on site facilities for parking, storage, play and residential amenity;

- 6.10 The proposal includes adequate on site facilities, including parking, play areas and day rooms.

(e) The possibility of the integrated co-existence between the site and the settled community, including adequate levels of privacy and residential amenity both within the site and with neighbouring occupiers;

- 6.11 The application site is some distance from the closest settlement, but does not change the existing situation.

(f) Opportunities for an element of authorised mixed uses;

- 6.12 No mixed uses are proposed, but this is not objectionable.

(g) The compatibility of the use with the surrounding land use, including potential disturbance from vehicular movements, and on site business activities;

- 6.13 The site is well screened and there are no sensitive adjoining land uses which might be affected by the scheme.

(h) Will not materially harm the physical and visual character of the area;

(i) Where applicable have regard for the character and policies affecting the North Wessex Downs AONB.'

6.14 (h) and (i) are assessed below.

6.15 To give clarity on the supporting information expected from development proposals a detailed planning considerations policy is included within the Housing Site Allocations DPD. **Policy TS3** (Detailed Planning Considerations for Travellers Sites) reads as follows (bullet points have been replaced by letters for ease of reference):

'Proposals for development will be expected to comply with policies within the West Berkshire Development Plan and have regard to guidance outlined in the Government's good practice guide on Designing Gypsy and Traveller Sites where appropriate. In addition proposals will:

(a) Provide an integrated water supply and drainage strategy in advance of development to ensure the provision of adequate and appropriate infrastructure for water supply and waste water, both on and off site. Development will be occupied in line with this strategy. All sites that are not connected to the mains sewerage system will ensure there are no deleterious effects to Special Area of Conservation (SACs) and river and wetland Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSIs).

6.16 This has been achieved as set out in the drainage section below.

(b) Incorporate appropriate vehicle access and turning space.

6.17 Acceptable access and turning space is available.

(c) Include appropriate landscaping proposals, retaining and incorporating key elements of landscape character into the site design.

6.18 The site will be contained by a 2.4m high green wire mesh fencing and in parts 1.8m high close boarded fencing for security. Whilst not immediately attractive it needs to be considered in the light of the surrounding dense woodland on the plateau.

(d) Be well designed and laid out with shelter and amenity buildings which are appropriately located and constructed of sympathetic materials suited for the purpose.

6.19 The submitted block plan shows a range of permanent day rooms which comply with the criterion, and the highways officer has accepted the internal layout as has your case officer as being a satisfactory design solution to the present site boundaries. The circular layout is efficient and effective, and closely mimics former best practice guidance. The proposed play space is a welcome addition.

(e) Provide a mix of residential and business use where appropriate.

6.20 This is not proposed here, but this not objectionable given the density of development.

(f) Provide a Flood Risk Assessment in accordance with Policy CS16 of the adopted Core Strategy.

(g) Demonstrate that surface water will be managed in a sustainable manner through the implementation of Sustainable Drainage Methods (SuDS).

6.21 Whilst the site is in Flood Zone 1 and less than 1ha in area, a FRA has been submitted due to historical flooding issues. Drainage matters are covered below.

- (h) *Include measures to improve accessibility by, and encourage use of, non-car transport modes. These measures should be set out in a Travel Plan for the site.*
- 6.22 Given the existing established use of the site, the proposal has a neutral impact in terms of accessibility.
- (i) *Identify internal walking routes and show how they will be linked to existing routes including the Public Rights of Way network. They will also take advantage of the landscape features of value within the site. Opportunities to improve external routes to services and facilities will be sought.*
- 6.23 There are none on site but a footpath runs nearby to the east which could easily be used by the occupants of the site.
- (j) *Identify measures to be provided to mitigate the impact of development on the local road network as identified by a site specific Transport Assessment or Transport Statement.*
- 6.24 It is appreciated that the site has been vacant for some 4 years. Notwithstanding, the lawful use of the site means that any additional impact on the local highway network is negligible.
- (k) *Identify appropriate green space/green infrastructure in line with the Council's adopted standards as set out in Policy RL1 of the Local Plan 1991 - 2006 (Saved Policies 2007).*
- 6.25 The proposed play spaces within the layout are an improvement on the previous layout.
- (l) *Provide necessary infrastructure to meet the needs that arise from the development as a whole, in accordance with both the most up to date Infrastructure Delivery Plan (IDP) and through conformity with the appropriate standards.*
- 6.26 No site specific infrastructure has been identified. CIL may be chargeable on the dayrooms.
- (m) *Provide a Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment (LVIA) in accordance with the Landscape Institute Guidelines for Landscape and Visual impact Assessment 3rd ed. 2013. This will inform the development design and layout of the site and requirements for green infrastructure.*
- 6.27 This is not considered necessary in this case because the site is already present and authorised for the use sought, and the site is very well screened by the surrounding woodland
- (n) *Provide an extended phase 1 habitat survey together with further detailed surveys arising from that as necessary. Appropriate avoidance and mitigation measures will need to be implemented, to ensure any protected species are not adversely affected.*
- (o) *Provide appropriate mitigation to offset impact on key species and habitats through appropriate buffering, on-site mitigation and off-site compensation measures.*
- 6.28 Given the site is of low ecological value due to its recent planning history, this is not considered to be necessary.

(p) Provide a design, layout and siting plan for the development.'

- 6.29 The criterion has been met.
- 6.30 Overall, the requirements of Policy TS3 are detailed to address all potential considerations that may relate to new and existing sites. The assessment of the scheme, and this information submitted with the application, is considered to be proportionate to the existing authorised use of the site.

Emerging Policies

- 6.31 According to paragraph 48 of the NPPF, local planning authorities may give weight to relevant policies in emerging plans according to: (a) the stage of preparation of the emerging plan (the more advanced its preparation, the greater the weight that may be given); (b) the extent to which there are unresolved objections to relevant policies (the less significant the unresolved objections, the greater the weight that may be given); and (c) the degree of consistency of the relevant policies in the emerging plan to this Framework (the closer the policies in the emerging plan to the policies in the Framework, the greater the weight that may be given).
- 6.32 The current development plan period runs up to 2026. The Council is in the process of reviewing its Local Plan to cover the period up to 2039. A Regulation 19 consultation on the emerging draft plan has taken place, and the plan submitted for Examination in Public by the Planning Inspectorate. The draft plan includes Policy DM20 (Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople), which would replace and consolidate Policies CS7 and TS3. Whilst emerging Policy DM20 attracts only limited weight at this stage, it should be noted that the general approach remains consistent with current policies and existing allocations in the HSA DPD are proposed to be carried forward. The policy also seeks to safeguard existing gypsy and traveller sites, and locate sites on previously developed land where possible. It states:

'Existing authorised sites for Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople will be safeguarded for use by Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople, unless acceptable replacement accommodation/pitches/plots can be provided. This is to ensure there remains a good supply of pitches and plots in the district.'

National planning policy

- 6.33 The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) at paragraph 62 states 'the size, type and tenure of housing needed for different groups in the community should be assessed and reflected in planning policies (including travellers). A footnote in the NPPF then states 'Planning Policy for Traveller Sites sets out how travellers' housing needs should be assessed for those covered by the definition in Annex 1 of that document'.
- 6.34 The DCLG publication Planning Policy for Traveller Sites (PPTS) (August 2015) sets out the Government's planning policy for traveller sites, which should be read in conjunction with the NPPF. The Government's overarching aim is to ensure fair and equal treatment for travellers, in a way that facilitates the traditional and nomadic way of life of travellers while respecting the interests of the settled community.
- 6.35 According to paragraph 24 of the PPTS, local planning authorities should consider the following issues amongst other relevant matters when considering planning applications for traveller sites:
- a) The existing level of local provision and need for sites

- b) The availability (or lack) of alternative accommodation for the applicants
 - c) Other personal circumstances of the applicant
 - d) That the locally specific criteria used to guide the allocation of sites in plans or which form the policy where there is no identified need for pitches/plots should be used to assess applications that may come forward on unallocated sites
 - e) That they should determine applications for sites from any travellers and not just those with local connections
- 6.36 Paragraph 25 of the PPTS states that local planning authorities should very strictly limit new traveller site development in open countryside that is away from existing settlements or outside areas allocated in the development plan. Local planning authorities should ensure that sites in rural areas respect the scale of, and do not dominate, the nearest settled community, and avoid placing an undue pressure on the local infrastructure.
- 6.37 According to paragraph 26 of the PPTS, when considering applications, local planning authorities should attach weight to the following matters:
- a) effective use of previously developed (brownfield), untidy or derelict land
 - b) sites being well planned or soft landscaped in such a way as to positively enhance the environment and increase its openness
 - c) promoting opportunities for healthy lifestyles, such as ensuring adequate landscaping and play areas for children
 - d) not enclosing a site with so much hard landscaping, high walls or fences, that the impression may be given that the site and its occupants are deliberately isolated from the rest of the community
- 6.38 All of the points above are examined under relevant section heading below, where relevant to the proposed development.

Local need and supply

- 6.39 According to the PPTS, the local planning authority should consider the existing level of local provision and need for sites. The Council has a legal duty to plan for adequate accommodation for the Gypsy and Traveller community.
- 6.40 The Council has a Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation Assessment (GTAA) carried out in 2014 in accordance with the GTAA practice guidance applicable at that time. This was used to inform the pitch target and policy in the HSA DPD. This GTAA has been updated, particularly as it predated the change to the definition of 'traveller' in the revised PPTS in August 2015.
- 6.41 The GTAA 2021 provides an update to the 2019 version, to understand an updated 5 year supply position. The GTAA identifies a 'cultural' need and a 'PPTS' need. An overall 'cultural' need for pitches looks at the overall need for the Travelling community and takes into account the Human Rights Act 1998, the Equalities Act 2010 and the Housing and Planning Act 2016 section 124. A PPTS filter is then applied to identify the level of need associated with those households meeting the definitions set out in the PPTS Annex 1. The needs arising from the PPTS analysis establishes the level of need under which a 5 year land supply is assessed. The *Lisa Smith* Court of Appeal judgement found that the Government's definition of 'traveller' as outlined in the PPTS

was discriminatory, and reinforces why the Council needs to take into account all needs, as is done through the cultural needs assessment of the Council's GTAA.

- 6.42 The GTAA indicates that there is an identified need for a total of 60 pitches up to 2025/26, when reviewing the 5 year short term need. At the time that the GTAA was published 45 had already been accommodated. It is important to note that of the 45 this includes the Four Houses Corner site comprising 16 pitches.
- 6.43 The GTAA also examines need between 2021/22 and 2037/38. The following table examines the residual need, which takes into account recent permissions and the additional pitch hereby proposed at Four Houses Corner. This still leaves a need for 3 pitches in the short term, and 17 pitches in the longer term (20 in total).

Table Addressing Gypsy and Traveller pitch need updated		
West Berkshire	Cultural need	Of which PPTS NEED
5yr Authorised Pitch Shortfall (2021/22 to 2025/26) (A)	13	9
<u>Supply: Additional residential pitches (B1) – Paices Hill transit to residential</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>8</u>
<u>Supply: Additional residential pitches (B2) – Additional pitch at Four Houses Corner</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>
<u>Supply: Additional residential pitches (B3) – Additional pitch at Ermin Street, Lambourn Woodlands</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>
Residual need 2021/22 to 2025/26 with additional residential pitches included in supply (C) = A-B1-B2-B3	3	-1
Longer-term need 2026/27 to 2037/38 (D)	17	11
Residual need 2021/22 to 2037/38 with additional residential pitches included in supply (E) = C+D	20	10

- 6.44 There are no new site allocations proposed in the emerging Local Plan Review, but the existing allocated site at Paices Hill is proposed to remain as a continuing allocation. Planning permission was granted in September 2022 for the change of use of 8 transit pitches to 8 permanent pitches at the Paices Hill site.
- 6.45 The Planning Inspector for the Lawrences Lane, Thatcham appeal, noted that the need for the District was not large and was satisfied that there were plans to address the need, in the form of a Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation Development Plan Document to follow the Local Plan Review.
- 6.46 Should the redevelopment of Four Houses Corner not go ahead, the Council could no longer demonstrate a 5 year housing land supply, would add a large number to the required need, may mean a new Council site be required, and/or planning permission may be granted at the application or appeal stage for sites which may not be less suitable. Accordingly, it is the clear officer view that given the application site already has an extant planning use for 18 pitches (the use has not been abandoned, merely vacant) it is a suitable site in principle.
- 6.47 Overall, the above demonstrates the importance of redeveloping the Four Houses Corner site to maintain an adequate supply in both the short and long term. The proposal increases the number of pitches by 1, from 16 to 17, which is welcome in the context of the identified need, and it is good practice to make efficient use of land where possible.

Assessment of principle of development

- 6.48 The proposed development is considered to comply with the aforementioned policies of the West Berkshire Development Plan, the emerging policies in the Local Plan Review, and the relevant national policies. The retention of existing sites is specifically identified as necessary by the emerging policies, in recognition of the need and supply set out above. Four Houses Corner is to make a significant contribution to supply in the short and long term.
- 6.49 The proposal is for the redevelopment of an established site, rather than the creation of a new site. It therefore makes good use of previously developed brownfield land, and does not conflict with national policies regarding the location of new sites in the countryside. Many of the fundamental policy considerations are therefore also addressed or not engaged.

Highway Matters

- 6.50 Policy CS13 in the Core Strategy is the principal policy against which the application needs to be addressed. The highways officer has carefully examined the proposal in the light of this policy and has concluded that conditional permission is appropriate based on the following:
- a) The internal layout is accepted as is the parking provision including 4 visitor spaces.
 - b) The proposed forward visibility splays in both directions at 2.4m by 215m is accepted as the local speed limit is 60mph on the Reading Road adjacent.
 - c) The traffic generation issue is not a matter with which the Local Highways Authority is concerned, given the existing use of the site and the local road is a principal distributor road in the local network.
- 6.51 Accordingly the advice in policy CS13 is met.

Landscape and Visual Impact

- 6.52 The application site lies in the WH5 designation in the West Berkshire Landscape Character Assessment of 2019. This corresponds to the Burghfield Woodland mosaic on a gravel plateau. The principal features of this area is land significantly covered by woodland with limited public access but dominated by a high level of urban development; for example AWE Aldermaston to the west and Burghfield Common to the east. Otherwise the level of overall development is limited.
- 6.53 Four Houses Corner comprises one such minor enclave of built form, and as mentioned above is very well screened from longer and shorter distance views by the woodland. The introduction of the mesh fence will enhance the immediate locality from the existing situation, since the security boarding around the site is currently unattractive and will be replaced. This new fencing will permit a greater degree of intervisibility across the site which will improve the locality.
- 6.54 The height of the new buildings will be limited to single storey only, the day rooms being circa 5.5m to ridge and the caravans typically being no more than 3.5 m in height. This will help to reduce any localised visual impact. Having regard policy CS19 in the Core Strategy, which encourages new development not to be harmful visually or indeed harm the local landscape itself, your officers consider that the level of impact will be minimal and so acceptable under the remit of policy CS19. It is also important

to note that no special landscape designation washes over the application site, such as AONB.

Emergency Planning and AWE.

- 6.55 Policy CS8 in the Core Strategy identifies the need for the local planning authority to take into account new development within defined land use planning consultation zones surrounding both the AWE Aldermaston and Burghfield. The application site lies in the DEPZ (Detailed Emergency Planning Zone)] for the latter site where development is normally restricted where it materially increases the local resident population, as this would place additional burdens on the response of the emergency services during any incident. However the Emergency Planning Service is not objecting to the application given the existing use, and because the future occupation of the site has already been allowed for in the Council's Off-Site Emergency Plan. Accordingly, on the basis of this, the Office for Nuclear Regulation have not objected to the proposal. The scheme accordingly complies with policy CS8.

Sustainable construction

- 6.56 The zero carbon requirements in Policy CS15 of the Core Strategy do not apply as the proposal is not a major development. No objections have been received from the Council's Environment Delivery Team.

Drainage

- 6.57 The Flood Risk Assessment identifies a low risk of fluvial, artificial and sewer flood sources, a very low risk of surface water flooding, but a medium to high risk of groundwater flooding. A surface water drainage strategy has been provided to demonstrate that surface water runoff arising from the development can be sustainably managed and result in an improvement on the past situation.
- 6.58 Amended plans have been received to show a new outfall run to the south-east, which addressed the Council's Drainage Officers initial concerns with the proposal.

Public representations

- 6.59 The public representations have been summarised in this report. Those which relate to material planning considerations have been taken into account in arriving at the recommendation, and the main determinative issues have been assessed above. It should be noted that a significant number of points made do not relate to relevant material planning considerations.

Parish Council representations

- 6.60 Firstly in relation to the land contamination issue Environmental Health have been consulted on the application and their views are awaited. Secondly in relation to the fact that the application is suggested as not complying with the exceptions for new housing in the countryside, there are specific policies relating to gypsy and traveller development against which this application has been assessed. Finally the DEPZ issue has been commented on above.

7. Planning Balance and Conclusion

- 7.1 The application before Committee has much to commend it, as follows. Firstly it will form the effective re-use of a currently unattractive site. Secondly, the site makes a significant contribution to the supply of gypsy and traveller sites to meet the locally identified need. Thirdly, it is well conceived in technical terms having adequate access, drainage and good layout plans including a child's play area. Fourthly it has no material ecological implications and any additional visual impact will be minimal. It is acceptable accordingly in policy terms as well.
- 7.2 The one unsatisfactory aspect of the proposal is its location since it is a relatively isolated outlier in the rural area, and the associated implications for sustainable travel. However, recognising that this is an established site, the constraints of the location are considered to be outweighed by the benefits of proposal.
- 7.3 The application is recommended for conditional approval.

8. Full Recommendation

- 8.1 To delegate to the Development Control Manager to GRANT PLANNING PERMISSION subject to the conditions listed below.

Conditions

1.	<p>Commencement of development</p> <p>The development hereby permitted shall be begun before the expiration of three years from the date of this permission.</p> <p>Reason: To comply with Section 91 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended by Section 51 of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004).</p>
2.	<p>Approved plans</p> <p>The development hereby permitted shall be carried out in accordance with the approved plans and documents listed below: All E1511-GSA-XX-DR: A-000, [01 and 02], A-403, A-401, A-402, A-102, A-103, A-104, A-105, A-110, A-120 and A-053-05, and A-052-06.</p> <p>Reason: For the avoidance of doubt and in the interest of proper planning.</p>
3.	<p>AWE Emergency Plan</p> <p>No development shall take place until a site-specific Emergency Plan has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The Emergency Plan shall provide policies and procedures for the preparedness and response to an incident at AWE Aldermaston/Burghfield during both the construction and occupation of the development. Thereafter, the premises shall not be operated without the implementation of the approved Emergency Plan, or an approved revision.</p> <p>The plan shall be kept up-to-date, and relevant to the occupant at all times. An amended version of the plan may be submitted to the Local Planning Authority for approval pursuant to this condition. The Local Planning Authority may at any time require the amendment of the Plan by giving notice pursuant to this condition; in which case the amended plan shall be submitted to the Local Planning Authority for approval within 1 month of notice being given.</p>

	<p>Reason: The approval and implementation of a site-specific Emergency Plan is necessary to mitigate the residual risk posed to public safety by the close proximity of AWE Aldermaston/Burghfield, to ensure appropriate preparedness and response in the event of an incident at AWE, and to ensure that the development does not adversely affect the AWE Off-Site Emergency Response Plan. This condition is applied in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework, and Policy CS8 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy 2006-2026.</p> <p>Note: For queries relating to the content of the site-specific Emergency Plan, please contact the Joint Emergency Planning, West Berkshire Council, Council Offices, Market Street, Newbury, RG14 5LD. Tel: 01635 503535, Email: emergencyplanning@westberks.gov.uk. Please quote the application reference.</p>
4.	<p>Footway</p> <p>The development shall not be brought into use until the footway to be constructed fronting the site has been constructed in accordance with the approved drawings and any statutory undertaker's equipment or street furniture located in the position of this footway/cycleway has been re-sited to provide an unobstructed footway/cycleway.</p> <p>Reason: In the interest of road safety and to ensure adequate and unobstructed provision for pedestrians and/or cyclists. This condition is imposed in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework and Policy CS13 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy (2006-2026).</p>
5.	<p>Visibility splays</p> <p>The development shall not be brought into use until the visibility splays at the access have been provided in accordance with drawing number E1511-GSA-XX-DR -A-052 Rev06. The land within these visibility splays shall thereafter be kept free of all obstructions to visibility over a height of 0.6 metres above the carriageway level.</p> <p>Reason: In the interests of road safety. This condition is imposed in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework and Policy CS13 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy (2006-2026).</p>
6.	<p>Parking</p> <p>The site shall not be occupied until the vehicle parking and turning spaces have been surfaced, marked out and provided in accordance with the approved plans. The parking and turning spaces shall thereafter be kept available for parking (of private motor cars and/or light goods vehicles) at all times.</p> <p>Reason: To ensure the development is provided with adequate parking facilities, in order to reduce the likelihood of roadside parking that would adversely affect road safety and the flow of traffic. This condition is imposed in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework, Policy CS13 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy (2006-2026) and Policy TRANS1 of the West Berkshire District Local Plan 1991-2006 (Saved Policies 2007).</p>
7.	<p>Access construction</p> <p>The development shall not be brought into use until the access has been constructed in accordance with the approved drawings.</p> <p>Reason: In the interest of road safety. This condition is imposed in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework and Policy CS13 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy (2006-2026).</p>

8.	<p>Cycle parking/storage (prior approval before occupation)</p> <p>The development shall not be brought into use until cycle parking/storage facilities have been provided in accordance with details that have first been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. Thereafter the facilities shall be maintained and kept available for that purpose at all times.</p> <p>Reason: To ensure the provision of cycle parking/storage facilities in order to encourage the use of cycles and reduce reliance on private motor vehicles. This condition is applied in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework, Policy CS13 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy 2006-2026, Policy P1 of the Housing Site Allocations DPD 2006-2026, Quality Design SPD, and the Council's Cycle and Motorcycle Advice and Standards for New Development (November 2014).</p>
9.	<p>Maximum pitches</p> <p>At no time shall no more than 17 pitches be provided on the application site.</p> <p>Reason: To prevent the overdevelopment of the site and maintain good amenity for occupants. This condition is imposed in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework, Policy CS14 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy 2006-2026.</p>
10.	<p>Occupation restriction</p> <p>The site hereby permitted shall not be occupied at any time other than by Gypsies and Travellers.</p> <p>Reason: To comply with policy CS7 in the West Berkshire Core Strategy 2006-2026, and maintain a supply of pitches.</p>
11.	<p>Day rooms</p> <p>The dayrooms hereby permitted shall only be used for purposes ancillary and/or incidental to the pitches upon which they are sited.</p> <p>Reason: To prevent the overdevelopment of the site and maintain good amenity for occupants. This condition is imposed in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework, Policy CS14 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy 2006-2026.</p>

Informatives

1.	<p>Statement under Article 35(1) of the Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) (England) Order 2015</p> <p>The Council seeks to work with applicants in positive and proactive manner, where possible seeking solutions to problems arising in relation to dealing with a planning application. In accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework, the Council offers a pre-application advice service so that potential issues can be identified and addressed prior to submission. The Council will also negotiate improvements to submitted applications in line with its published strategy (https://www.westberks.gov.uk/planning-application-process#Negotiations%20and%20amendments). In this instance, this approach has resulted in the grant of planning permission.</p>
2.	<p>CIL The development hereby approved results in a requirement to make payments to the Council as part of the Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) procedure. A Liability Notice setting out further details, and including the amount of CIL payable will be sent out separately from this Decision Notice. You are advised to read the</p>

	<p>Liability Notice and ensure that a Commencement Notice is submitted to the authority prior to the commencement of the development. Failure to submit the Commencement Notice will result in the loss of any exemptions claimed, and the loss of any right to pay by instalments, and additional costs to you in the form of surcharges. For further details see the website at www.westberks.gov.uk/cil</p>
--	--